

Article

# First Pseudorotaxane-Like [3]Complexes Based on Cryptands and Paraquat: Self-Assembly and Crystal Structures

Feihe Huang, Harry W. Gibson, William S. Bryant, Devdatt S. Nagvekar, and Frank R. Fronczek

J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125 (31), 9367-9371• DOI: 10.1021/ja034968h • Publication Date (Web): 11 July 2003

Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on March 29, 2009



# More About This Article

Additional resources and features associated with this article are available within the HTML version:

- Supporting Information
- Links to the 10 articles that cite this article, as of the time of this article download
- Access to high resolution figures
- Links to articles and content related to this article
- Copyright permission to reproduce figures and/or text from this article

View the Full Text HTML





# First Pseudorotaxane-Like [3]Complexes Based on Cryptands and Paraguat: Self-Assembly and Crystal Structures

Feihe Huang,<sup>†</sup> Harry W. Gibson,<sup>\*,†</sup> William S. Bryant,<sup>†</sup> Devdatt S. Nagvekar,<sup>†</sup> and Frank R. Fronczek<sup>‡</sup>

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, Virginia Polytechnic Institute & State University, Blacksburg, Virginia 24061, and Department of Chemistry, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803

Received March 3, 2003; E-mail: hwgibson@vt.edu

Abstract: A new cryptand, bis(1,3,5-phenylene)tri(1,4,7,10-tetraoxadecyl) (3a), has been synthesized in good yield from bis(5-hydroxy-1,3-phenylene)-26-crown-8 (2a) and tri(ethylene glycol) ditosylate using pseudo-high dilution conditions. 3a forms a strong 1:1 complex with paraguat (1) in acetone solution with a high apparent association constant,  $1.4 \times 10^4$  M<sup>-1</sup>. A stoichiometry of 1:1 was also observed by mass spectrometry in the gaseous state. However, in the solid state, as determined by X-ray crystallography, the two complexes of 3a and the previously reported homologous cryptand, bis(1,3,5-phenylene)tri-(1,4,7,10,13-pentaoxatridecyl) (3b), with paraquat (1) have 2:1 stoichiometry. A unique feature of these trimolecular pseudorotaxane-like complexes is that the quest occupies parts of the cavities of two cryptand molecules. For the first time it was found that in cryptand-based complexes, different stoichiometries are possible for the same host-guest pair.

## Introduction

The first cryptand was reported in 1968.<sup>1a</sup> The original objective for designing cryptands was to strongly combine metal ions and small organic molecules by encapsulation.<sup>1</sup> Recently progress has been made in the synthesis of cryptands that can complex large organic guests, such as paraquat derivatives and ammonium salts. We first reported very strong complexations between a cryptand and paraquat derivatives in 1999.<sup>2</sup> Three years later, we reported that the formation of supramolecular cryptands<sup>3</sup> by chelation of a difunctional macrocycle can improve the complexations with paraquat derivatives<sup>4</sup> and a diammonium salt.<sup>5</sup> These cryptands have proven to be powerful hosts for organic guests as shown by their much higher association constants compared with analogous simple crown

ethers.<sup>2-5</sup> For all of the studied complexation systems based on cryptands, 1:1 stoichiometry was found in solution, gaseous, and solid states based on NMR data, mass spectral characterization, and X-ray analysis. Here we report that complexes of 2:1 stoichiometry can also form as shown by the unique crystal structures of trimolecular pseudorotaxane-like<sup>2,6</sup> complexes based on two different cryptands and paraquat 1.

## **Results and Discussion**

A. Synthesis of a New Cryptand, Bis(1,3,5-phenylene)tri(1,4,7,10-tetraoxadecyl) (3a). Bis(1,3,5-phenylene)tri-(1,4,7,10,13-pentaoxatridecyl) (3b), a reported cryptand, was synthesized in one step from bis(5-hydroxy-1,3-phenylene)-32crown-10 (2b) and tetra(ethylene glycol) ditosylate in 38% yield using the pseudo-high dilution technique.<sup>2</sup> Bis(1,3,5-phenylene)tri-(1,4,7,10-tetraoxadecyl) (3a), a new smaller cryptand, was made from bis(5-hydroxy-1,3-phenylene)-26-crown-8 (2a) and tri-(ethylene glycol) ditosylate in 25% yield by the same method (Scheme 1). The relatively lower yield of 3a resulted from the more rigid starting material 2a compared with 2b.

B. Complexation of the New Small Cryptand 3a with **Paraquat** (1). A Job plot<sup>7</sup> (Figure 2) based on proton NMR data demonstrated that the complex between 3a and 1 was of 1:1 stoichiometry in acetone solution. In the same way, it was found that the complex between 2c and 1 also had a 1:1 stoichiometry in acetone solution. Our previous study demonstrated that the complexes between 2d and 1 and between 3b and **1** were of 1:1 stoichiometry in acetone solution.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Virginia Polytechnic Institute & State University.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> Louisiana State University.

 <sup>(</sup>a) Simmons, H. E.; Park, C. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 2428–2429.
 Simmons, H. E.; Park, C. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 2429–2431.
 Simmons, H. E.; Park, C. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 2431–2432. (b) Reviews: Dietrich, B. In Comprehensive Supramolecular Chemistry; Lehn, J.-M., Atwood, J. L., Davies, J. E. D., McNicol, D. D., Vogtle, F., Eds.; J.-M., Atwood, J. L., Davies, J. E. D., McNicol, D. D., Vogtle, F., Eds.; Pergamon Press: Oxford, 1996; Vol. 1, pp 153–211. Lucht, B. L.; Collum, D. B. Acc. Chem. Res. 1999, 32, 1035–1042. Reed, C. A.; Bolskar, R. D. Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 1075–1120. Kaes, C.; Katz, A.; Hosseini, M. W. Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 3553–3590.
 Bryant, W. S.; Jones, J. W.; Mason, P. E.; Guzei, I. A.; Rheingold, A. L.; Nagvekar, D. S.; Gibson, H. W. Org. Lett. 1999, 1, 1001–1004.
 Strengelouding engentende here here for a configure documented." For

 <sup>(3)</sup> Supramolecular cryptands have been referred to as "pseudocryptands". For the first such reference, see: Nabeshima, T.; Inaba, T.; Sagae, T.; Furukawa, N. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1990, *31*, 3919–3922. For examples of recent references, see: (a) Romain, H.; Florence, D.; Alain, M. *Chemistry* 2002, R. 2438–2445. (b) Nabeshima, T.; Yoshihira, Y.; Saiki, T.; Akine, S.; Horn,
 E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 28–29. For a review, see: Nabeshima,
 T.; Akine, S.; Saiki, T. Rev. Heteroat. Chem. 2000, 22, 219–239.

<sup>(4)</sup> Jones, J. W.; Zakharov, L. N.; Rheingold, A. L.; Gibson, H. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 13378–13379.

Huang, F.; Zakharov, L. N.; Rheingold, A. L.; Jones, J. W.; Gibson, H. W. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. In press. (5)

<sup>(6)</sup> Another pseudorotaxane-like complex: Ashton, P. R.; Philp, D.; Reddington, M. V.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; Spencer, N.; Stoddart, J. F.; Williams, D. J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1991, 1680–1683.
(7) Job, P. Ann. Chim. 1928, 9, 113–203.

Scheme 1<sup>a</sup>



<sup>*a*</sup> Reagents and conditions: (a)  $C_6H_5CH_2Br$ ,  $K_2CO_3$ , DMF, RT, 37%; (b) excess tri(ethylene glycol) dichloride,  $K_2CO_3$ , CH<sub>3</sub>CN, 70 °C, 62%; (c) **5**,  $K_2CO_3$ , CH<sub>3</sub>CN, 70 °C; (d) Pd/C, H<sub>2</sub>, 60 psi, 8% in two steps; (e) tri(ethylene glycol) ditosylate,  $K_2CO_3$ , DMF, 110 °C, 25%.



*Figure 1.* Compounds used in this study, and the solid-state structure<sup>2</sup> of **3b**•1.



*Figure 2.* Job plot: the stoichiometry of the complex between **3a** and **1** in CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub> solution using data for the aromatic hydrogen atom of **3a**.  $[3a]_c$  is the concentration of complxed **3a**.  $[3a]_0 + [1]_0 = 2.00$  mM.

Four solutions containing 2c, 2d, 3a, and 3b with equimolar 1 were characterized by proton NMR (Figure 3). As shown by these NMR spectra, the change of the chemical shift of  $H_1$  of paraquat 1 increased from 2c·1 to 2d·1 to 3a·1 to 3b·1.



*Figure 3.* Partial <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>, 298K) of (a) 1.00 mM **1**, (b) 1.00 mM **2c** and 1.00 mM **1**, (c) 1.00 mM **2d** and 1.00 mM **1**, (d) 1.00 mM **3a** and 1.00 mM **1**, (e) 1.00 mM **3b** and 1.00 mM **1**.

Correspondingly, the apparent association constants  $(K_a)^8$ increased from 3.9 ( $\pm 0.3$ ) × 10<sup>2</sup> M<sup>-1</sup> to 5.5 ( $\pm 0.5$ ) × 10<sup>2</sup> M<sup>-1</sup> to 1.4 ( $\pm 0.6$ ) × 10<sup>4</sup> M<sup>-1</sup> to 6.1 × 10<sup>4</sup> M<sup>-1</sup>,<sup>2</sup> respectively, at 1.00 mM initial concentration of host and guest. From 2c·1 to **3a·1**,  $K_a$  increased by about 36 times because of the formation of the cryptand structure. In the three ethyleneoxy bridges of the cryptand 3a or 3b, the aliphatic oxygen atoms are more basic than the phenolic oxygen atoms because of  $p-\pi$  conjugation in the latter; since there are more aliphatic oxygen atoms in **3b** than in **3a** it is understandable that from **3a**·1 to **3b**·1,  $K_a$ increased about 4 times. A yellow color was observed in all four solutions due to the charge-transfer interactions between the electron-rich aromatic rings of the host (2c, 2d, 3a, or 3b) and the electron-poor guest 1. However, the color was the brightest in 3a·1 and 3b·1. Therefore, the charge-transfer interaction was strongest in 3a·1 and 3b·1.

Low-resolution fast-atom bombardment mass spectrometry (LRFAB-MS, matrix: GLY/PEG) was carried out on the crystals of **3a-1**. A peak was observed that gave direct evidence for the formation of the 1:1 complex **3a-1**: m/z 925.35 (**3a** + 1 – PF<sub>6</sub>).<sup>10</sup> No peaks related to other stoichiometries were found.

C. Solid-State Structure of a New 2:1 Inclusion Complex of Large Cryptand 3b with Paraquat (1). Previously we reported 1:1 stoichiometry for the complexation between 3b and 1 in solution, gaseous, and solid states based on proton NMR data, mass spectral characterization, and X-ray analysis (Figure 1).<sup>2</sup> Here we report the crystal structure<sup>11</sup> (Figure 4a,b, Tables 1 and 2) of a 2:1 complex based on 3b and 1. These 2:1 complex crystals were grown from an acetone solution. Just like the 1:1 complex 3b·1, the 2:1 complex 3b·1·3b is stabilized by hydrogen bonding and face-to-face  $\pi$ -stacking interactions in the solid

- (9) Gong, C.; Balanda, P. B.; Gibson, H. W. Macromolecules 1998, 31, 5278– 5289.
- (10) High-resolution fast-atom bombardment mass spectrometry (matrix, GLY/ PEG) gave m/z 925.34760 (deviation 0.1 ppm).

<sup>(8) &</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>H NMR characterizations were done on solutions with constant initial host (2c, 2d, or 3a) concentration, [host]<sub>0</sub>, and varied initial guest (1) concentration, [guest]<sub>0</sub>. On the basis of these NMR data, Δ<sub>0</sub>, the difference in δ values for a proton of the host in the uncomplexed and fully complexed species, was calculated by using the Benesi-Hildebrand method.<sup>9</sup> The complexed host and guest concentrations are represented by [host]<sub>0</sub> or [guest]<sub>c</sub>, while [host]<sub>w</sub> and [guest]<sub>w</sub> represent the uncomplexed host and guest concentrations. Then [host]<sub>c</sub> = [guest]<sub>c</sub> = (Δ/Δ<sub>0</sub>)[host]<sub>0</sub>, [host]<sub>w</sub> = (1 − Δ/Δ<sub>0</sub>)[host]<sub>0</sub>, [guest]<sub>w</sub> = [guest]<sub>0</sub> − [guest]<sub>c</sub>, K<sub>a</sub> = [host]<sub>c</sub>/{[host]<sub>w</sub> [guest]<sub>w</sub>}. K<sub>a</sub> for 2c**1**, 2d**1**, and 3a**1** were calculated by using ±5% error in Δ/Δ<sub>0</sub>. We found that apparent association constants K<sub>a</sub> for some pseudorotaxane systems are concentration dependent (Jones, J. W.; Gibson, H. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 7001-7004), so it is necessary to specify initial concentrations.



*Figure 4.* Solid-state structures of **3b**·1·3**b** (a and b) and **3a**·1·3**a** (c and d), and cartoon representations of **3b**·1·3**b** (e) and **3a**·1·3**a** (f). Hosts (**3a** or **3b**) are red, the guest (1) is blue, and water molecules are magenta. (a and b) Four water molecules, two acetone molecules, two  $PF_6^-$  ions, and hydrogens except the ones in paraquat and water molecules have been omitted for clarity. Hydrogen-bond and face-to face  $\pi$ -stacking parameters are listed in Tables 1 and 2. (c and d) One disordered acetone molecule, two  $PF_6^-$  ions, and hydrogens except the ones in paraquat or involved in hydrogen bonding have been omitted for clarity. Hydrogen-bond and face-to face  $\pi$ -stacking parameters are listed in Tables 2 and 3. (e and f) Hosts (**3a** or **3b**) are red, and the guest (1) is blue.

Table 1.	Hydrogen-Bond	Parameters	for	3b-1-3b
----------	---------------	------------	-----	---------

parameters	А	В	С	D	Е	F	G
C····O or O····O distances (Å) H····O distances (Å) C–H···O or O–H···O angles (deg)	2.86 1.87 174	3.06 2.70 102	3.06 2.50 117	2.82 1.88 163	3.11 2.18 166	3.34 2.39 174	3.26 2.32 172

state and methyl protons of **1** are not involved in any hydrogen bonding. However, there are differences. A unique feature of the 2:1 crystal structure is that each paraquat unit is shared by one-half of two cryptand moieties, whereas in all other reported systems, including **3b·1**, each cavity is fully occupied by one paraquat unit.<sup>2,14</sup> The centroid–centroid distance between the phenylene rings of the **3b** host molecules on the same side of the complex is 8.97 Å. There are six water and three acetone molecules per unit cell, while there are only one water and one acetone molecules per unit cell for the 1:1 complex **3b·1**. In the 1:1 complex **3b·1**, the only water molecule is acting as a hydrogen-bonding bridge between the  $\beta$ -protons of **1** and the ether oxygen atoms of **3b**, but in the 2:1 complex, two water molecules act as hydrogen-bonding bridges between the  $\alpha$ -protons of **1** and the ether oxygen atoms of the two molecules of **3b**. In **3b·1·3b**, each of the four  $\beta$ -protons of **1** forms a bifurcated hydrogen bond with two ethyleneoxy oxygen atoms of each of the **3b** molecules directly without water bridges. The changes of the stoichiometry of the complex and interactions between host and guest moieties have important influences on the

Table 2.	Selected	Distances	and	Angles	for	3b·1·3b	and <b>3a</b> •1	l∙3a
----------	----------	-----------	-----	--------	-----	---------	------------------	------

parameters	3b·1·3b	3a·1·3a
face-to-face $\pi$ -stacking centroid–centroid distances (Å)	4.25, 4.32	3.97, 3.50
face-to-face $\pi$ -stacking ring plane/ring plane inclinations (deg)	8.3, 5.7	4.4, 10.3
centroid—centroid distance (Å) between two phenylene rings of the cryptand	6.95	6.81
dihedral angle (deg) between two phenylene rings of the cryptand	7.8	7.0
centroid–centroid distance (Å) between two pyridinium rings of $1$	4.29	4.30
dihedral angle (deg) between two pyridinium rings of <b>1</b>	0	0
centroid-centroid distance (Å) between two phenylene rings of two cryptand molecules on the same side	8.97	6.89

Table 3. Hydrogen-Bond Parameters for 3a-1-3a								
parameters	Н	I	J	К	L	М		
C····O distances (Å) H···O distances (Å) C-H···O angles (deg)	3.25 2.26 169	3.40 2.50 150	3.07 2.27 136	3.48 2.63 142	3.31 2.37 158	3.35 2.47 147		

conformation of the guest, 1. From 3b-1 to 3b-1-3b, the dihedral angle between the two pyridinium rings of 1 changes from 5.8° to  $0^{\circ}$ , while in the crystal structure of **1** itself, the corresponding value is  $0^{\circ}$ .<sup>15</sup> In **3b·1·3b**, the guest **1** does not extend from the cavity of the two 3b molecules, so strictly speaking it is not a pseudorotaxane (Figure 4a,e).

D. Solid-State Structure of a New 2:1 Inclusion Complex of Small Cryptand 3a with Paraquat (1). In contrast to the 1:1 stoichiometry observed in solution and gaseous states, single crystals of the complex between the smaller cryptand 3a and 1 were of 2:1 stoichiometry as shown by its crystal structure (Figure 4c,d, Tables 2 and 3).<sup>16</sup> The 2:1 complex **3a·1·3a** has stabilization forces (hydrogen-bonding and face-to-face  $\pi$ -stacking interactions) similar to the homologous 2:1 complex

- (11) Crystals of 3b·1·3b were grown by slow evaporation of an acetone (undried) solution; care was taken to keep the crystals wet, because they were destroyed when dried. Data were collected to  $\theta = 29^{\circ}$ . The structure was solved by direct methods using SIR<sup>12</sup> and refined by full-matrix least squares, using the Enraf–Nonius MolEN programs.<sup>13</sup> Non-hydrogen atoms were treated anisotropically, except those of the disordered solvent molecules. Hydrogen atoms were placed in calculated positions, except those on the water molecules and the paraquat methyl groups, which were placed from difference maps. Acetone H atoms and those of water molecule O3w were not located. Crystal data: prism, orange,  $0.85 \times 0.68 \times 0.22$ mm3, (C36H54O15)2:C12H14N2 (PF6)2:(H2O)6:(C3H6O)3, FW 2212.2, triclinic, space group *P*-1, a = 13.298(2) Å, b = 13.641(1) Å, c = 15.622(1) Å;  $a = 94.485(7)^\circ, \beta = 101.04(1)^\circ, \gamma = 99.14(1)^\circ, V = 2728(1) \text{ Å}^3, Z = 1, D_c = 1.346 \text{ g cm}^{-3}, T = 120\text{K}, \mu = 1.4 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . Convergence was achieved with  $R = 0.077, R_w = 0.086$ , and maximum residual density 0.77 eA<sup>-3</sup> for 6871 data having  $I > 3\sigma(I)$ .
- (12) Burla, M. C.; Camalli, M.; Cascarano, G.; Giacovazzo, C.; Polidori, G.; Spagna R.; Viterbo, D. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 1989, 22, 389–393.
   (13) Fair, C. K. "MolEN, An Interactive System for Crystal Structure Analysis";
- Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands, 1990.
- (14) (a) Allwood, B. L.; Spencer, N.; Shahriari-Zavareh, H.; Stoddart, J. F.; Williams, D. J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1987, 1058–1061. (b) Asakawa, M.; Ashton, P. R.; Ballardini, R.; Balzani, V.; Belohradsky, M.; Gandolfi, M. T.; Kocian, O.; Prodi, L.; Raymo, F. M.; Stoddart, J. F.; Venturi, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1997**, 119, 302–310. (c) Asakawa, M.; Ashton, P. R.; Boyd, S. E.; Brown, C. L.; Gillard, R. E.; Kocian, O.; Raymo, F. M.; Stoddart, J. F.; Tolley, M. S.; White, A. J. P.; Williams, D. J. J. Org. Chem. **1997**, 62, 26–37 and references therein.
- (15) Huang, F.; Slebodnick, C.; Golen, J. A.; Rheingold, A. L.; Gibson, H. W. Unpublished results.
- (16) Crystals of 3a 1-3a were grown by vapor diffusion of pentane into an acetone solution of 1.00 mM 3a and 5.00 mM 1. The data collection routine, unit cell refinement, data processing, and the face-indexed numerical absorption correction were carried out with the program CrysAlis.<sup>17</sup> Data were collected correction were carried out with the program CrysAlis.<sup>17</sup> Data were collected from  $\theta = 2.828^{\circ}$  to  $\theta = 29.386^{\circ}$ . Crystal data: prism, yellow, 0.50 × 0.18 × 0.10 mm<sup>3</sup>, Cr<sub>2</sub>H<sub>98</sub>O<sub>24</sub>N<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub>F<sub>12</sub>;(C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub>O), FW 1723.57, triclinic, space group *P*-1, *a* = 10.831(2) Å, *b* = 13.165(2) Å, *c* = 14.474(3) Å;  $\alpha = 93.030^{\circ}$ . (14)°,  $\beta = 93.740(15)^{\circ}$ ,  $\gamma = 105.361(15)^{\circ}$ ; V = 1980.6(6) Å<sup>3</sup>, *Z* = 1, *D<sub>c</sub>* = 1.445 g cm<sup>-3</sup>, *T* = 100 K,  $\mu = 1.62$  cm<sup>-1</sup>, 40888 measured reflections, 10001 independent reflections, 533 parameters, *F*(000) = 906.000, *R*1 = 0.1014, *wR*2 = 0.1159 (all data), *R*1 = 0.0470, *wR*2 = 0.0766 [*I* > 2 $\sigma$ (*I*)], maximum residual density 0.78 eÅ<sup>-3</sup>, and goodness-of-fit (*F*<sup>2</sup>) = 0.9627. The structure was solved by SIR92<sup>18</sup> and refined by Crystals.<sup>19</sup> Non-bydrogen atoms were treated anisotronically and bydrogen atoms were hydrogen atoms were treated anisotropically, and hydrogen atoms were placed in calculated positions. 4019 reflections were used in refinements by full-matrix least-squares on  $F^2$ .

3b·1·3b from the large cryptand. However, there are some differences resulting from the change in the cavity size of the host. Because of the smaller size of the cavity of 3a, the guest 1 protrudes out from the cavity to form a pseudorotaxane-like [3]complex<sup>2,6</sup> (Figure 4c,f). Also, because of the smaller ring size, no bridging water molecules are found in 3a·1·3a. Therefore, there are no bridging O-H···O hydrogen bonds in **3a·1·3a**. Every pyridinium proton of **1** is involved in direct hydrogen bonding to ethyleneoxy oxygen atoms of host 3a molecules. In **3b·1·3b**, four  $\beta$ -protons of **1** share two oxygen atoms in hydrogen bonding, while in **3a**·1·3a, four  $\beta$ -protons of 1 form hydrogen bonds with four different oxygen atoms of the smaller host. The centroid-centroid distance between adjacent phenylene rings of the two cryptand molecules decreases from 8.97 to 6.89 Å from 3b·1·3b to 3a·1·3a. The two cryptand molecules of 3a·1·3a are connected by two hydrogen bonds with a C···O distance of 3.31 Å, an H···O distance of 2.37 Å, and a C-H···O angle of 158° (L in Figure 4c,d). These interactions between complexed hosts stabilize the trimolecular complex in a manner not common in multimolecular complexes.<sup>15,20</sup>

#### Conclusions

It has again been demonstrated that the formation of the cryptand structure is an efficient method to improve complexations with paraquat derivatives.<sup>2</sup> Two unique 2:1 complexes were found. 3a·1·3a is unique not only because the guest is encapsulated in the cavities of two cryptand molecules, but also because it is stabilized by the interactions between the two host molecules. 3b·1·3b represents the first case in cryptand-based complexes that different stoichiometries result from the same host-guest pair.

#### **Experimental Section**

General Procedures. Dimethylformamide (DMF) was distilled under reduced pressure. Other chemicals were reagent grade and used as received. All solvents were HPLC or GC grade. The NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Unity or Inova Instrument. Low-resolution electron impact mass spectrometry (LREIMS) was carried out on a

<sup>(17)</sup> Oxford Diffraction; Wroclaw: Poland, 2002.

<sup>(18)</sup> Altomare, A.; Cascarano, G.; Giacovazzo, C.; Guagliardi, A. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 1993, 26, 343-350.

<sup>(19)</sup> Watkin, D. J.; Prout, C. K.; Carruthers, J. R.; Betteridge, P. W.; Cooper, R. I. CRYSTALS; Chemical Crystallography Laboratory, University of Oxford: Oxford, 2000; issue 11.

 <sup>(20) (</sup>a) Ashton, P. R.; Langford, S. J.; Spencer, N.; Stoddart, J. F.; White, A. J. P.; Williams, D. J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1996, 1387–1388.
 (b) Ashton, P. R.; Glink, P. T.; Martinez-Diaz, M.-V.; Stoddart, J. F.; White, A. J. P.; Williams, D. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1996, 35, 1930– 1933. (c) Ashton, P. R.; Ballardini, R.; Balzani, V.; Baxter, I.; Credi, A.; Fyfe, M. C. T.; Gandolfi, M. T.; Gómez-López, M.; Martínez-Díaz, M.-; Piersanti, A.; Spencer, N.; Stoddart, J. F.; Venturi, M.; White, A. J. P.; Williams, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 11932-11942. (d) Ballardini, R.; Balzani, V.; Clemente-León, M.; Credi, A.; Gandolfi, M. T.; Ishow, E.; Perkins, J.; Stoddart, J. F.; Tseng, H.-R.; Wenger, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 12786–12795.

VG-Quohro4000 (direct probe inlet) triple quadrupole mass spectrometer. High-resolution fast-atom bombardment mass spectrometry (HRFABMS) was carried out on an HX110 dual-focusing mass spectrometer using xenon gas for ionization. Melting points were taken in capillary tubes and are uncorrected. Elemental analyses were performed by Atlantic Microlabs of Norcross, GA. X-ray diffraction of **3b-1·3b** was carried out at 120 K on an Enraf-Nonius CAD4 diffractometer equipped with Mo K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å) and a graphite monochromator. X-diffraction of **3a-1·3a** was carried out on an Oxford Diffraction XCalibur2 diffractometer equipped with the Enhance X-ray Source (Mo K $\alpha$  radiation;  $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å) and a Sapphire 2 CCD detector.

5-Benzyloxyresorcinol (5). Phloroglucinol dihydrate, 32.00 g (197 mmol), was placed in a 100 mL round-bottom flask equipped with a Dean Stark trap and dehydrated using 75 mL of toluene. After removal of the water and evaporation of the solvent, dry phloroglucinol (4) was dissolved in 200 mL of DMF and added via a 250 mL addition funnel to a suspension containing 12.27 g of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (88.8 mmol) in 200 mL of DMF. The mixture was stirred with a mechanical stirrer for 10 min. A 15.19 g amount of benzyl bromide (88.8 mmol) was diluted with 200 mL of DMF and added dropwise over 3 h to the reaction mixture. After complete addition the reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h. The mixture was then filtered, and the solvent was removed by rotoevaporation to afford a brown oil, which was adsorbed on silica gel and purified by flash column chromatography (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>; CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>:Et<sub>2</sub>O, 85: 15) to provide 7.04 g (37%) of 5, an oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 25 °C)  $\delta$  7.94 (s, 2H), 7.32 (m, 5H), 6.08 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 2H), 6.05 (t, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 4.92 (s, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 25 °C)  $\delta$ 160.7, 157.9, 136.9, 128.4, 127.8, 127.5, 96.1, 94.8, 69.8.

3,5-Bis(9-chloro-1,4,7-trioxanonyl)benzyloxybenzene (6). A 10.3 g (47.6 mmol) amount of 5 and 89.10 g (476 mmol) of tri(ethylene glycol) dichloride were dissolved in a suspension of 29.7 g (215 mmol) of potassium carbonate in acetonitrile (~25 mL) at 70 °C. The mixture was stirred with a mechanical stirrer for 140 h, cooled to RT, and filtered. The solvent was removed from the filtrate by rotoevaporation. The resulting brown oil was dissolved in chloroform and washed with water (2  $\times$  200 mL). The organic layer was evaporated to give a brown oil. The excess dichloride was removed by vacuum distillation (61 °C @ 0.25 mmHg). The distillate was subjected to liquid-liquid extraction using petroleum ether for 16 days, resulting in the isolation of 12.7 g of 6. The crude material was subjected to flash column chromatography (ethyl ether), and 2.23 g (62%) 6 was obtained. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 25 °C)  $\delta$  7.35 (m, 5H), 6.18 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 2H), 6.13 (t, J =2.0 Hz, 1H), 4.99 (s, 2H), 4.07 (m, 4H), 3.83 (m, 4H), 3.68 (m, 16H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz) δ 160.5, 136.7, 128.5, 127.9, 127.5, 94.5, 94.4, 71.3, 70.7, 70.6, 70.0, 69.6, 67.4, 42.7.

Bis(5-benzyloxy-1,3-phenylene)-26-crown-8 (7) and Bis(5-hydroxy-1,3-phenylene)-26-crown-8 (2a). In a 3 L, three-neck Morton flask, equipped with a mechanical stirrer, reflux condenser, nitrogen inlet, rubber septum, and thermometer, 2.5 L of acetonitrile was heated

to 70 °C under nitrogen. Potassium carbonate (48.07 g, 347.4 mmol) was added, followed by 100 mL of an acetonitrile solution of 11.66 g (23.16 mmol) of 6 and 5.01 g (23.16 mmol) of 5 at a rate of 0.75 mL/h. The final mixture was stirred for 10 days, cooled to RT, and filtered through Celite. After removal of the solvent by rotoevaporation, the resulting oil, 7 (17.55 g), was dissolved in a 50:50 mixture of chloroform:methanol in a hydrogenation flask. To the flask was added palladium on carbon (500 mg, 5 wt % Pd/wet, Englehard), and the flask was pressurized to 60 psi and shaken for 24 h. The mixture was then filtered through Celite. The solvent was removed from the filtrate by rotoevaporation to give a brown oil. Trituration with acetonitrile gave a brownish precipitate, which was filtered and washed with acetonitrile to afford 833 mg (8%) of 2a, mp 192.2-193.1 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 25 °C)  $\delta$  9.43 (s, 2H), 5.93 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 4H), 5.91 (t, J = 2.0 Hz, 2H), 3.96 (m, 8H), 3.69 (m, 8H), 3.58 (m, 8H);<sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz) & 160.1, 159.0, 94.0, 92.7, 69.9, 68.7, 66.8. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>32</sub>O<sub>10</sub>: C, 59.99; H, 6.71. Found: C, 59.85; H, 6.89. LREIMS m/z 480 [M]+; HRFABMS (matrix, NBA/PEG) m/z calcd for  $[M + H]^+ C_{24}H_{33}O_{10}$ , 481.20737, found 481.20691, error 1.0 ppm.

Bis(1,3,5-phenylene)tri(1,4,7,10-tetraoxadecyl) (3a). A solution of 700 mg (1.46 mmol) of 2a and 668 mg (1.46 mmol) of tri(ethylene glycol) ditosylate in 40 mL of DMF was added at 0.75 mL/h into a suspension containing 2.07 g of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (15.0 mmol) and TBAI (5 mg) in 400 mL of DMF at 110 °C. After complete addition, the reaction mixture was stirred at 110 °C for 4 days. The cooled mixture was rotoevaporated under reduced pressure to remove DMF, treated with chloroform, and filtered. Removal of chloroform afforded a crude product, which was purified by flash column chromatography, eluting with ethyl ether gradually increasing to ethyl acetate to give 334 mg of unreacted tri(ethylene glycol) ditosylate and 108 mg of 3a [25% based on reacted tri(ethylene glycol) ditosylate]: mp 81.2-81.9 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, acetone- $d_6$ , 25 °C)  $\delta$  5.94 (s, 6H), 3.94 (t, J = 5.4Hz, 12H), 3.76 (t, J = 5.4 Hz, 12H), 3.65 (s, 12H). LREIMS m/z 595  $[M + H]^+$ , 594  $[M]^+$ ; HRFABMS (matrix, NBA/PEG) m/z calcd for  $[M + H]^+ C_{30}H_{43}O_{12}$ , 595.27545, found 595.27753, error 3.5 ppm.

Acknowledgment. We acknowledge financial support of this research by the National Science Foundation through DMR0097126. Improvements to the LSU X-ray Crystallography Facility were supported by Grant No. LEQSF (1996-97)-ENH-TR-10, administered by the Louisiana Board of Regents. We thank Dr. Carla Slebodnick, Prof. Ross Angel, and Prof. Joseph Merola (VPI&SU) for assistance with the refinement of the X-ray structures.

**Supporting Information Available:** X-ray crystallographic files (CIF) for **3a·1·3a** and **3b·1·3b**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

JA034968H